





PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,599	06/12/2000	Dongyan Wang	SAM1.0064	7070
7:	590 07/1			
Kenneth L Sherman Sherman & Sherman Seventeenth Floor			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, NHON D	
2029 Century P Los Angeles, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			2174	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	\wp

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/592,599 WANG ET AL. Intervi w Summary Examiner Art Unit Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen 2174 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kristine Kincaid (Supervisory Patent Examiner). (3) Michael Zarrabian (attorney). (2) Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen (Patent Examiner). (4)_____ Date of Interview: 18 June 2003. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 5. Identification of prior art discussed: Lea et al. and Venkatraman et al.. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Bristine Vincaid KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100** Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney explains what "link" means in claim 1 by describing the actual intention behind "link". Examiners, however, explain how "link", as the claim language itself, was interpreted and how claim 1 was rejected based on that interpretation in view of Lea et al. Also as per claims 4 and 5, Examiners explain how hyper-text links 66, 67, and 68 (Venkatraman et al.) can be used to access to the printer device information that currently connects to the network. The attorney agrees on the explainations and further amendments to the claims may follow.